

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/838,139 04/20/2001 Katsumi Mabuchi 503.34897CC3 20457 7590 02/10/2004 **EXAMINER** ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SUITE 1800** ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889 3744 DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\overline{}$
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V (')
	09/838,139	MABUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	170
	William C Doerrler	3744	\cup
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she it with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2004. 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 3-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)⊠ Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 3 and 6-8 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFF	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No. <u>08/721,720</u> . ved in this National S	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:		152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster in view of Greeley.

Foster discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, heating a metal in an air or steam atmosphere to form a protective oxide coating thereon (see column 2 lines 41-46), substantially as claimed with the exception of using the protected metal in an absorption cooling system. Greeley shows an absorption cooling system to be a corrosive environment which should use some corrosion inhibitors to prolong the life of the device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Art Unit: 3744

applicants' invention from the teaching of Greeley to utilize the production method of Foster to provide a protective oxide coating on the surface an absorption cooling system to prolong the life of the system. Examples 5 and 7 in Table 1 of Foster show samples which were heated in an atmosphere containing oxygen to provide an outer oxide coating.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 5 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1-12-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants state that neither Foster nor Greeley et al show an oxide coating that is derived by heating in an oxygen containing atmosphere which will contact the solution in an absorption system. Examples 5 and 7 in Table 1 of Foster show oxide coatings that are derived by heating the material to be treated in an oxygen containing environment. The substrate temperatures given are higher than ambient, so the sample is being heated. The carrier gas (20% air in sample 5 and air in sample 7) is inherently heated with the sample. This is in addition to the preoxidation steps which are performed to derive the adherent layer for the outer oxide. Examples 5 and 7 produce an oxide coating which will be in contact with the solution the heat exchanger is used for. When combined with the teaching of Greeley, that the surfaces of an absorption cooling system should be protected, the outer oxide coating will be in contact with the sorbent solution to prolong the life of the system.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C Doerrler whose telephone number is (703) 308-0696. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.





Application/Control Number: 09/838,139

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD